arXiv:1004.4376v4 [math.GT] 28 Nov 2010

ON EQUIVARIANT HOMEOMORPHISMS OF BOUNDARIES OF CAT(0) GROUPS

TETSUYA HOSAKA

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate an equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries ∂X and ∂Y of two proper CAT(0) spaces X and Y on which a CAT(0) group G acts geometrically. We provide a sufficient condition to obtain a G-equivariant homeomorphism of the two boundaries ∂X and ∂Y as a continuous extension of the quasi-isometry $\phi : Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ defined by $\phi(gx_0) = gy_0$, where $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate an equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries of two proper CAT(0) spaces on which a CAT(0) group acts geometrically as a continuous extension of a quasi-isometry of the two CAT(0) spaces.

Definitions and details of CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries are found in [8] and [20]. A *geometric* action on a CAT(0) space is an action by isometries which is proper ([8, p.131]) and cocompact. We note that every CAT(0) space on which some group acts geometrically is a proper space ([8, p.132]). A group G is called a *CAT(0) group*, if G acts geometrically on some CAT(0) space X.

It is well-known that if a Gromov hyperbolic group G acts geometrically on a negatively curved space X, then the natural map $G \to X$ ($g \mapsto gx_0$) extends continuously to an equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries of G and X. Also if a Gromov hyperbolic group G acts geometrically on negatively curved spaces X and Y, then the boundaries of X and Y are G-equivariant homeomorphic. Indeed the natural map $Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ ($gx_0 \mapsto gy_0$) extends continuously to a G-equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries of X and Y. The boundaries of Gromov hyperbolic groups are quasi-isometric invariant (cf. [8], [11], [20], [21], [22]).

Here in [22], Gromov asked whether the boundaries of two CAT(0) spaces X and Y are G-equivariant homeomorphic whenever a CAT(0) group G acts geometrically on the two CAT(0) spaces X and Y. In [7], P. L. Bowers and K. Ruane have constructed an example that the natural quasi-isometry $Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ ($gx_0 \mapsto gy_0$) does not extend continuously to any map between the boundaries ∂X and ∂Y of X and Y. Also S. Yamagata [39] has constructed a similar example using a right-angled Coxeter group and its Davis complex. Moreover, there is a research by C. Croke and B. Kleiner [13] on an equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries ∂X and ∂Y.

Date: October 3, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65; 57M07.

Key words and phrases. CAT(0) space; CAT(0) group; boundary; geometric action; equivariant homeomorphism.

Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. (No. 21740037).

Also, C. Croke and B. Kleiner [12] have constructed a CAT(0) group G which acts geometrically on two CAT(0) spaces X and Y whose boundaries are not homeomorphic, and J. Wilson [38] has proved that this CAT(0) group has uncountably many boundaries. Recently, C. Mooney [33] has showed that the knot group G of any connected sum of two non-trivial torus knots has uncountably many CAT(0) boundaries.

Also, it has been observed by M. Bestvina [5] that all the boundaries of a given CAT(0) group are shape equivalent, and he has asked the question whether all the boundaries of a given CAT(0) group are cell-like equivalent. This question is an open problem and there are some resent research (cf. [2], [34]).

The purpose of this paper is to provide a sufficient condition to obtain a G-equivariant homeomorphism between the two boundaries ∂X and ∂Y of two CAT(0) spaces X and Y on which a CAT(0) group G acts geometrically as a continuous extension of the natural quasi-isometry $Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ $(gx_0 \mapsto gy_0)$, where $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$.

Now we recall the example of Bowers and Ruane in [7]. Let $G = F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $X = Y = T \times \mathbb{R}$, where F_2 is the rank 2 free group generated by $\{a, b\}$ and T is the Cayley graph of F_2 with respect to the generating set $\{a, b\}$. Then we define the action "$\cdot$" of the group G on the CAT(0) space X by

$$\begin{aligned}
(a,0)\cdot(t,r) &= (a\cdot t, r),\\
(b,0)\cdot(t,r) &= (b\cdot t, r),\\
(1,1)\cdot(t,r) &= (t, r+1),
\end{aligned}$$

for each $(t, r) \in T \times \mathbb{R} = X$, and also define the action "$*$" of the group G on the CAT(0) space Y by

$$\begin{aligned}
(a,0)*(t,r) &= (a\cdot t, r),\\
(b,0)*(t,r) &= (b\cdot t, r+2),\\
(1,1)*(t,r) &= (t, r+1),
\end{aligned}$$

for each $(t, r) \in T \times \mathbb{R} = Y$. Then the group G acts geometrically on the two CAT(0) spaces X and Y, and the quasi-isometry $g \cdot x_0 \mapsto g * y_0$ (where $x_0 = (1, 0) \in X$ and $y_0 = (1, 0) \in Y$) does not extend continuously to any map from ∂X to ∂Y. Indeed for $g_i = a^i b^i \in F_2$, $\{g_i^\infty \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \to a^\infty$ as $i \to \infty$ in ∂T,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}(g_i^n, 0)\cdot x_0 &= [g_i^\infty, 0],\\
\lim_{n\to\infty}(a^n, 0)\cdot x_0 &= [a^\infty, 0],
\end{aligned}$$

in $X \cup \partial X$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}(g_i^n, 0)* y_0 &= [g_i^\infty, \frac{\pi}{4}],\\
\lim_{n\to\infty}(a^n, 0)* y_0 &= [a^\infty, 0],
\end{aligned}$$

in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Hence any map from ∂X to ∂Y obtained as a continuously extension of the quasi-isometry $G \cdot x_0 \to G * y_0$ $(g \cdot x_0 \to g * y_0)$ must send $[g_i^\infty, 0]$ to $[g_i^\infty, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ and fix $[a^\infty, 0]$. However, this is incompatible with continuously at $[a^\infty, 0]$, because $[g_i^\infty, 0] \to [a^\infty, 0]$ as $i \to \infty$ ([7, p.187]).

Here in this example, we note that

(a) the point $a^i \cdot x_0$ is in the geodesic segment from x_0 to $g^i \cdot x_0$ in X, i.e., $a^i \cdot x_0 \in [x_0, g^i \cdot x_0]$ in X for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

(b) the distance between the point $a^i * y_0$ and the geodesic segment from y_0 to $g^i * y_0$ is unbounded for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in Y, i.e., there does *not* exist a constant $M > 0$ such that $d(a^i * y_0, [y_0, g^i * y_0]) \leq M$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in Y.

Based on this observation, we consider a condition.

We suppose that a group G acts geometrically on two CAT(0) spaces X and Y. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$. Then we define the condition $(*)$ as follows:

$(*)$ There exist constants $N > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $GB(x_0, N) = X$, $GB(y_0, M) = Y$ and for any $g, a \in G$, if $[x_0, gx_0] \cap B(ax_0, N) \neq \emptyset$ in X then $[y_0, gy_0] \cap B(ay_0, M) \neq \emptyset$ in Y.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that a group G acts geometrically on two CAT(0) spaces X and Y. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$. If the condition $(*)$ holds, then there exists a G-equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries ∂X and ∂Y as a continuous extension of the quasi-isometry $\phi : Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ defined by $\phi(gx_0) = gy_0$.*

2. CAT(0) SPACES AND THEIR BOUNDARIES

Details of CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries are found in [1], [8], [19], [20] and [37].

A proper geodesic space (X, d_X) is called a *CAT(0) space*, if the "CAT(0)-inequality" holds for all geodesic triangles $\triangle$ and for all choices of two points x and y in $\triangle$. Here the "CAT(0)-inequality" is defined as follows: Let $\triangle$ be a geodesic triangle in X. A *comparison triangle* for $\triangle$ is a geodesic triangle $\triangle'$ in the Euclidean plain $\mathbb{R}^2$ with same edge lengths as $\triangle$. Choose two points x and y in $\triangle$. Let x' and y' denote the corresponding points in $\triangle'$. Then the inequality

$$d_X(x, y) \leq d_{\mathbb{R}^2}(x', y')$$

is called the *CAT(0)-inequality*, where $d_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the natural metric on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Every proper CAT(0) space can be compactified by adding its "boundary". Let (X, d_X) be a proper CAT(0) space, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of all geodesic rays in X. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\mathcal{R}$ as follows: For geodesic rays $\xi, \zeta : [0, \infty) \to X$,

$$\xi \sim \zeta \iff \operatorname{Im} \xi \subset B(\operatorname{Im} \zeta, N) \text{ for some } N \geq 0,$$

where $B(A, N) := \{x \in X \mid d_X(x, A) \leq N\}$ for $A \subset X$. Then the *boundary* ∂X of X is defined as

$$\partial X = \mathcal{R}/\sim.$$

For each geodesic ray $\xi \in \mathcal{R}$, the equivalence class of ξ is denoted by $\xi(\infty)$.

It is known that for each $\alpha \in \partial X$ and each $x_0 \in X$, there exists a unique geodesic ray $\xi_\alpha : [0, \infty) \to X$ such that $\xi_\alpha(0) = x_0$ and $\xi_\alpha(\infty) = \alpha$. Thus we can identify the boundary ∂X of X as the set of all geodesic rays ξ with $\xi(0) = x_0$.

Let (X, d_X) be a proper CAT(0) space and let $x_0 \in X$. We define a topology on $X \cup \partial X$ as follows:

(1) X is an open subspace of $X \cup \partial X$.

(2) Let $\alpha \in \partial X$ and let ξ_α be the geodesic ray such that $\xi_\alpha(0) = x_0$ and $\xi_\alpha(\infty) = \alpha$. For $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$U_{X \cup \partial X}(\alpha; r, \epsilon) = \{x \in X \cup \partial X \mid x \notin B(x_0, r),\ d_X(\xi_\alpha(r), \xi_x(r)) < \epsilon\},$$

where $\xi_x : [0, d_X(x_0, x)] \to X$ is the geodesic (segment or ray) from x_0 to x. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be a constant. Then the set

$$\{U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, \epsilon_0) \,|\, r > 0\}$$

is a neighborhood basis for α in $X \cup \partial X$.

Here it is known that the topology on $X \cup \partial X$ is not dependent on the basepoint $x_0 \in X$ and $X \cup \partial X$ is a metrizable compactification of X.

Also for $\alpha \in \partial X$ and the geodesic ray ξ_α with $\xi_\alpha(0) = x_0$ and $\xi_\alpha(\infty) = \alpha$ and for $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define

$$U'_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, \epsilon) = \{x \in X \cup \partial X \,|\, x \notin B(x_0, r),\ d_X(\xi_\alpha(r), \operatorname{Im}\xi_x) < \epsilon\},$$

where $\xi_x : [0, d(x_0, x)] \to X$ is the geodesic (segment or ray) from x_0 to x. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be a constant. Then the set

$$\{U'_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, \epsilon_0) \,|\, r > 0\}$$

is also a neighborhood basis for α in $X \cup \partial X$ (cf. [24, Lemma 4.2]).

Suppose that a group G acts on a proper CAT(0) space X by isometries. For each element $g \in G$ and each geodesic ray $\xi : [0, \infty) \to X$, a map $g\xi : [0, \infty) \to X$ defined by $(g\xi)(t) := g(\xi(t))$ is also a geodesic ray. For two geodesic rays ξ and ξ', if $\xi(\infty) = \xi'(\infty)$ then $g\xi(\infty) = g\xi'(\infty)$. Thus g induces a homeomorphism of ∂X, and G acts on ∂X by homeomorphisms. Here we note that if a sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$ converges to $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$, then for any $g \in G$, the sequence $\{gx_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$ converges to $g\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d_X) be a proper CAT(0) space and let $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$ be an unbounded sequence in X. In this paper, we say that the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a *Cauchy sequence in* $X \cup \partial X$, if there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $r > 0$, there is a number $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$x_i \in U_{X\cup\partial X}(x_{i_0}; r, \epsilon_0)$$

for any $i \geq i_0$. Here

$$U_{X\cup\partial X}(x_{i_0}; r, \epsilon_0) = \{x \in X \,|\, x \notin B(x_0, r),\ d_X(\xi_{x_{i_0}}(r), \xi_x(r)) < \epsilon\},$$

where ξ_z is the geodesic segment from x_0 to z in X.

We show the following lemma which is used later.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, d_X) be a proper CAT(0) space and let $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset X$ be an unbounded sequence in X. Then the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$ defined above if and only if the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to some point $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$.*

Proof. We first show that if the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to some point $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$, then $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$ defined above.

Suppose that $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Since the set

$$\{U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}) \,|\, r > 0\}$$

is a neighborhood basis for α in $X \cup \partial X$, for each $r > 0$, there exists a number $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_i \in U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, \frac{\epsilon_0}{2})$$

for any $i \geq i_0$. Then for any $i \geq i_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(\xi_{x_{i_0}}(r), \xi_x(r)) &\leq d_X(\xi_{x_{i_0}}(r), \xi_\alpha(r)) + d_X(\xi_\alpha(r), \xi_x(r)) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \\ &= \epsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x_i \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(x_{i_0}; r, \epsilon_0)$ for any $i \geq i_0$. Thus the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$.

Next, we show that if $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$ defined above, then $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to some point $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$.

Suppose that $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$. Since the set $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is unbounded in X, there exists a limit point $\alpha \in \mathrm{Cl}\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \partial X$. Here there exists a subsequence $\{x_{i_j} \,|\, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$.

Then we show that the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to the point $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$.

Since $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $r > 0$, there is a number $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as $x_i \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(x_{i_0}; r, \epsilon_0)$ for any $i \geq i_0$, i.e., $d_X(\xi_{x_{i_0}}(r), \xi_{x_i}(r)) \leq \epsilon_0$ for any $i \geq i_0$. Also since the subsequence $\{x_{i_j} \,|\, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$, there exists $i_{j_0} \geq i_0$ such that $x_{i_{j_0}} \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(\alpha; r, 1)$, i.e., $d_X(\xi_{x_{i_{j_0}}}(r), \xi_\alpha(r)) \leq 1$. Then for any $i \geq i_{j_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(\xi_{x_i}(r), \xi_\alpha(r)) &\leq d_X(\xi_{x_i}(r), \xi_{x_{i_0}}(r)) + d_X(\xi_{x_{i_0}}(r), \xi_{x_{i_{j_0}}}(r)) + d_X(\xi_{x_{i_{j_0}}}(r), \xi_\alpha(r)) \\ &\leq \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 + 1 \\ &= 2\epsilon_0 + 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $i \geq i_{j_0} \geq i_0$. Hence for any $r > 0$, there exists a number $i_{j_0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $i \geq i_{j_0}$,

$$x_i \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(\alpha; r, 2\epsilon_0 + 1),$$

where $2\epsilon_0 + 1$ is a constant. Thus the sequence $\{x_i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to the point $\alpha \in \partial X$ in $X \cup \partial X$. □

3. Proof of the main theorem

We suppose that a group G acts geometrically on two CAT(0) spaces (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y). Let $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$. Now we suppose that the condition $(*)$ holds; that is,

$(*)$ there exist constants $N > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $GB(x_0, N) = X$, $GB(y_0, M) = Y$ and for any $g, a \in G$, if $[x_0, gx_0] \cap B(ax_0, N) \neq \emptyset$ in X then $[y_0, gy_0] \cap B(ay_0, M) \neq \emptyset$ in Y.

Our goal is to show that the quasi-isometry $\phi : Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ defined by $\phi(gx_0) = gy_0$ continuously extends to a G-equivariant homeomorphism of the boundaries ∂X and ∂Y.

Since the map $\phi : Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ defined by $\phi(gx_0) = gy_0$ is a quasi-isometry (cf. [8, p.138], [21], [22]), there exist constants $\lambda > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} d_Y(gy_0, hy_0) - C \leq d_X(gx_0, hx_0) \leq \lambda d_Y(gy_0, hy_0) + C$$

for any $g, h \in G$.

We first show the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\{g_i\} \subset G$ *be a sequence. If* $\{g_i x_0\} \subset X$ *is a Cauchy sequence in* $X \cup \partial X$ *defined in Section 2, then* $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ *is also a Cauchy sequence in* $Y \cup \partial Y$.

Proof. Let $\{g_i\} \subset G$. Suppose that $\{g_i x_0\} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$.

To prove that $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ is a Cauchy sequence in $Y \cup \partial Y$, we show that there exists $M' > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$, there is $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$g_i y_0 \in U_{Y \cup \partial Y}(g_{i_0} y_0; R, M')$$

for any $i \geq i_0$.

Let $M' = \lambda(2N+1) + 2M + C$ and let $R > 0$.

Since $\{g_i x_0\} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$, for $r = \lambda(R + C + M) + N$, there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$g_i x_0 \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(g_{i_0} x_0; r, 1)$$

for any $i \geq i_0$.

Then

$$d_X(x_0, g_{i_0} x_0) \geq r, \ d_X(x_0, g_i x_0) \geq r, \ \text{and } d_X(\xi_{g_{i_0} x_0}(r), \xi_{g_i x_0}(r)) \leq 1,$$

where $\xi_{g_{i_0} x_0}$ is the geodesic from x_0 to $g_{i_0} x_0$ and $\xi_{g_i x_0}$ is the geodesic from x_0 to $g_i x_0$ in X.

Since $GB(x_0, N) = X$, there exist $a, b \in G$ such that $d_X(a x_0, \xi_{g_{i_0} x_0}(r)) \leq N$ and $d_X(b x_0, \xi_{g_i x_0}(r)) \leq N$. Then

$$[x_0, g_{i_0} x_0] \cap B(a x_0, N) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } [x_0, g_i x_0] \cap B(b x_0, N) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence by the condition $(*)$,

$$[y_0, g_{i_0} y_0] \cap B(a y_0, M) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } [y_0, g_i y_0] \cap B(b y_0, M) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus

$$\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r'_0) \in [y_0, g_{i_0} y_0] \cap B(a y_0, M) \text{ and } \xi_{g_i y_0}(r') \in [y_0, g_i y_0] \cap B(b y_0, M)$$

for some $r'_0 > 0$ and $r' > 0$.

To obtain that for any $i \geq i_0$,

$$g_i y_0 \in U_{Y \cup \partial Y}(g_{i_0} y_0; R, M'),$$

we show that

$$r'_0 \geq R, \ r' \geq R \text{ and } d_Y(\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r'_0), \xi_{g_i y_0}(r')) \leq M'.$$

First,

$$\begin{aligned} r'_0 &= d_Y(y_0, \xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r'_0)) \\ &\geq d_Y(y_0, a y_0) - M \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} d_X(x_0, a x_0) - C - M \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}(r - N) - C - M \\ &= R, \end{aligned}$$

because $d_X(x_0, a x_0) \geq r - N$ and $r = \lambda(R + C + M) + N$.

By the same argument,

$$\begin{aligned} r' &= d_Y(y_0, \xi_{g_i y_0}(r')) \\ &\geq d_Y(y_0, b y_0) - M \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} d_X(x_0, b x_0) - C - M \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}(r - N) - C - M \\ &= R, \end{aligned}$$

because $d_X(x_0, bx_0) \geq r - N$ and $r = \lambda(R + C + M) + N$.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r_0'), \xi_{g_i y_0}(r')) &\leq d_Y(a y_0, b y_0) + 2M \\ &\leq (\lambda d_X(a x_0, b x_0) + C) + 2M \\ &\leq \lambda(d_X(\xi_{g_{i_0} x_0}(r), \xi_{g_i x_0}(r)) + 2N) + C + 2M \\ &\leq \lambda(1 + 2N) + C + 2M \\ &= M', \end{aligned}$$

because $d_X(\xi_{g_{i_0} x_0}(r), \xi_{g_i x_0}(r)) \leq 1$ and $M' = \lambda(2N + 1) + 2M + C$.

Thus

$$r_0' \geq R, \ r' \geq R \text{ and } d_Y(\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r_0'), \xi_{g_i y_0}(r')) \leq M'.$$

Hence

$$d_Y(\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(R), \xi_{g_i y_0}(R)) \leq d_Y(\xi_{g_{i_0} y_0}(r_0'), \xi_{g_i y_0}(r')) \leq M',$$

since Y is a CAT(0) space. Also we obtain that

$$d_Y(y_0, g_{i_0} y_0) \geq R \text{ and } d_Y(y_0, g_i y_0) \geq R,$$

because $r_0' \geq R$ and $r' \geq R$.

Thus

$$g_i y_0 \in U_{Y \cup \partial Y}(g_{i_0} y_0; R, M')$$

for any $i \geq i_0$. Hence we obtain that $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ is a Cauchy sequence in $Y \cup \partial Y$. $\square$

Then we define a map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ as a continuous extension of the quasi-isometry $\phi : Gx_0 \to Gy_0$ defined by $\phi(gx_0) = gy_0$ as follows: For each $\alpha \in \partial X$, there exists a sequence $\{g_i x_0\} \subset Gx_0 \subset X$ which converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$. Then the sequence $\{g_i x_0\} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$ by Lemma 2.2. By Proposition 3.1, the sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Hence by Lemma 2.2, the sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ converges to some point $\bar{\alpha} \in \partial Y$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Then we define $\bar{\phi}(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is well-defined.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \partial X$ and let $\{g_i x_0\}, \{h_i x_0\} \subset Gx_0 \subset X$ be two sequences which converge to α in $X \cup \partial X$. As the argument above, by Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.1, the sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ converges to some point $\bar{\alpha} \in \partial Y$ and the sequence $\{h_i y_0\} \subset Y$ converges to some point $\bar{\beta} \in \partial Y$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Then we show that $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}$.

Here we can consider a sequence $\{\tilde{g}_j x_0 \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset Gx_0 \subset X$ such that

$$\{\tilde{g}_j x_0 \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{g_i x_0 \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{h_i x_0 \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

and the sequence $\{\tilde{g}_j x_0\}$ converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$. Then the sequence $\{\tilde{g}_j x_0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X \cup \partial X$ and the sequence $\{\tilde{g}_j y_0\}$ is also in $Y \cup \partial Y$ by

Proposition 3.1. Hence the sequence $\{\tilde{g}_j y_0\}$ converges to some point $\bar{\gamma} \in \partial Y$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Here we note that the two sequences $\{g_i y_0\}$ and $\{h_i y_0\}$ are subsequences of $\{\tilde{g}_j y_0\}$. Hence we obtain that $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta} = \bar{\gamma}$.

Thus the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ defined as above is well-defined. $\square$

Next, we show the following.

Proposition 3.3. *The map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \partial Y$. There exists a sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset G y_0 \subset Y$ which converges to $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Then we consider the set $\{g_i x_0 \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is an unbounded subset of X. Here

$$\mathrm{Cl}\{g_i x_0 \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset,$$

and there exists a subsequence $\{g_{i_j} x_0 \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{g_i x_0\}$ which converges to some point $\alpha \in \partial X$. Then the sequence $\{g_{i_j} y_0\}$ converges to $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$, because $\{g_{i_j} y_0\}$ is a subsequence of the sequence $\{g_i y_0\}$ which converges to $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Hence $\bar{\phi}(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$ by the definition of the map $\bar{\phi}$. Thus the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is surjective. $\square$

Here we provide a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\tilde{N} \geq N$, there exists $\tilde{M} > 0$ such that $GB(y_0, \tilde{M}) = Y$ and for any $g, a \in G$, if $[x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a x_0, \tilde{N}) \neq \emptyset$ in X then $[y_0, g y_0] \cap B(a y_0, \tilde{M}) \neq \emptyset$ in Y.*

Proof. For $\tilde{N} \geq N$, we put $\tilde{M} = \lambda(N + \tilde{N}) + C + M$.

Let $g, a \in G$ as $[x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a x_0, \tilde{N}) \neq \emptyset$ in X. Then there exists a point $x_1 \in [x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a x_0, \tilde{N})$. Since $GB(x_0, N) = X$, there exists $a' \in G$ such that $x_1 \in B(a' x_0, N)$. Then $x_1 \in [x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a' x_0, N)$ and $[x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a' x_0, N) \neq \emptyset$ in X. By the condition $(*)$, $[y_0, g y_0] \cap B(a' y_0, M) \neq \emptyset$ in Y. Hence $d_Y(a' y_0, [y_0, g y_0]) \leq M$. Here we note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(a' y_0, a y_0) &\leq \lambda d_X(a' x_0, a x_0) + C \\ &\leq \lambda(d_X(a' x_0, x_1) + d_X(x_1, a x_0)) + C \\ &\leq \lambda(N + \tilde{N}) + C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(a y_0, [y_0, g y_0]) &\leq d_Y(a y_0, a' y_0) + d_Y(a' y_0, [y_0, g y_0]) \\ &\leq \lambda(N + \tilde{N}) + C + M \\ &= \tilde{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $[y_0, g y_0] \cap B(a y_0, \tilde{M}) \neq \emptyset$ in Y. $\square$

Let $\tilde{N} = 2N$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists $\tilde{M} > 0$ such that $GB(y_0, \tilde{M}) = Y$ and for any $g, a \in G$, if $[x_0, g x_0] \cap B(a x_0, \tilde{N}) \neq \emptyset$ in X then $[y_0, g y_0] \cap B(a y_0, \tilde{M}) \neq \emptyset$ in Y.

Here we show the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in \partial X$ and let $\xi_\alpha : [0, \infty) \to X$ be the geodesic ray in X such that $\xi_\alpha(0) = x_0$ and $\xi_\alpha(\infty) = \alpha$. Let $\{g_i x_0\} \subset G x_0 \subset X$ be a sequence which converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$ such that $d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) \leq N$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (since $GB(x_0, N) = X$, we can take such a sequence). Then*

(1) $d_X(g_i x_0, [x_0, g_j x_0]) \leq \tilde{N}$ *for any* $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $i < j$*,*
(2) $d_Y(g_i y_0, [y_0, g_j y_0]) \leq \tilde{M}$ *for any* $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $i < j$*,*
(3) $d_Y(g_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ *for any* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*,*
(4) $d_X(g_i x_0, g_{i+1} x_0) \leq 2N + 1$ *for any* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*,*
(5) $d_Y(g_i y_0, g_{i+1} y_0) \leq \lambda(2N+1) + C$ *for any* $i \in \mathbb{N}$*, and*
(6) $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset \bigcup \{ B(g_i y_0, 3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$*.*

Here $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\phi}(\alpha)$ *and* $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}} : [0, \infty) \to Y$ *is the geodesic ray in* Y *such that* $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(0) = y_0$ *and* $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}$*.*

Proof. (1) For any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g_i x_0, [x_0, g_j x_0]) &\leq d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) + d_X(\xi_\alpha(i), [x_0, g_j x_0]) \\ &\leq N + N = 2N \\ &= \tilde{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where we obtain the inequality $d_X(\xi_\alpha(i), [x_0, g_j x_0]) \leq N$, since $d_X(g_j x_0, \xi_\alpha(j)) \leq N$, $i < j$ and X is a CAT(0) space.

(2) By Lemma 3.4 and the definition of $\tilde{M}$, we obtain that $d_Y(g_i y_0, [y_0, g_j y_0]) \leq \tilde{M}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$ from (1).

(3) We note that the sequence $\{g_i y_0\}$ converges to $\bar{\alpha}$ by the definition of the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $R = d_Y(y_0, g_i y_0)$. Since the sequence $\{g_j y_0\}$ converges to $\bar{\alpha}$, there exists $j_0 \in N$ such that

$$d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(R), \xi_{g_j y_0}(R)) < 1$$

for any $j \geq j_0$, because the set

$$\{U_{Y \cup \partial Y}(\bar{\alpha}; r, 1) \mid r > 0\}$$

defined in Section 2 is a neighborhood basis for $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j > i$ and $j > j_0$. Since $i < j$, we obtain that $d_Y(g_i y_0, [y_0, g_j y_0]) \leq \tilde{M}$ by (2). Hence there exists $r > 0$ such that $d_Y(g_i y_0, \xi_{g_j y_0}(r)) \leq \tilde{M}$. Here we note that $r \leq R$ by [24, Lemma 4.1] and we can obtain that

$$d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r), \xi_{g_j y_0}(r)) < d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(R), \xi_{g_j y_0}(R)) < 1,$$

since Y is a CAT(0) space. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(g_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) &\leq d_Y(g_i y_0, \xi_{g_j y_0}(r)) + d_Y(\xi_{g_j y_0}(r), \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &< \tilde{M} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_Y(g_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(4) We obtain that $d_X(g_i x_0, g_{i+1} x_0) \leq 2N + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, because

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g_i x_0, g_{i+1} x_0) &\leq d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) + d_X(\xi_\alpha(i), \xi_\alpha(i+1)) + d_X(\xi_\alpha(i+1), g_{i+1} x_0) \\ &\leq N + 1 + N \\ &= 2N + 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) \leq N$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by the definition of the sequence $\{g_i x_0\}$.

(5) Since the map $\phi : G x_0 \to G y_0$ $(g x_0 \mapsto g y_0)$ is a quasi-isometry, we obtain that $d_Y(g_i y_0, g_{i+1} y_0) \leq \lambda(2N+1) + C$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by (4).

(6) For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $r_i > 0$ such that $d_Y(g_i y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r_i)) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ by (3). Then by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r_i), \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r_{i+1})) &\leq d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r_i), g_i y_0) + d_Y(g_i y_0, g_{i+1} y_0) + d_Y(g_{i+1} y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(r_{i+1})) \\ &\leq (\tilde{M} + 1) + (\lambda(2N+1) + C) + (\tilde{M} + 1) \\ &= 2(\tilde{M} + 1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset \bigcup \{ B(g_i y_0, 3(\tilde{M} + 1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

□

Now we show the following.

Proposition 3.6. *The map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \partial X$, and let $\xi_\alpha : [0, \infty) \to X$ and $\xi_{\alpha'} : [0, \infty) \to X$ be the geodesic rays in X such that $\xi_\alpha(0) = \xi_{\alpha'}(0) = x_0$, $\xi_\alpha(\infty) = \alpha$ and $\xi_{\alpha'}(\infty) = \alpha'$. Let $\{g_i x_0\}, \{g'_i x_0\} \subset G x_0 \subset X$ be sequences such that $d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) \leq N$ and $d_X(g'_i x_0, \xi_{\alpha'}(i)) \leq N$. Then the sequence $\{g_i x_0\}$ converges to α and the sequence $\{g'_i x_0\}$ converges to α' in $X \cup \partial X$.

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\phi}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{\alpha'} = \bar{\phi}(\alpha')$. Also let $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}} : [0, \infty) \to Y$ and $\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}} : [0, \infty) \to Y$ be the geodesic rays in Y such that $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(0) = \xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}(0) = y_0$, $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\infty) = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}(\infty) = \bar{\alpha'}$.

Then by Lemma 3.5,

(1) $d_X(g_i x_0, [x_0, g_j x_0]) \leq \tilde{N}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$,
(2) $d_Y(g_i y_0, [y_0, g_j y_0]) \leq \tilde{M}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$,
(3) $d_Y(g_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(4) $d_X(g_i x_0, g_{i+1} x_0) \leq 2N + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(5) $d_Y(g_i y_0, g_{i+1} y_0) \leq \lambda(2N+1) + C$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(6) $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}} \subset \bigcup \{ B(g_i y_0, 3(\tilde{M} + 1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$,

and

(1′) $d_X(g'_i x_0, [x_0, g'_j x_0]) \leq \tilde{N}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$,
(2′) $d_Y(g'_i y_0, [y_0, g'_j y_0]) \leq \tilde{M}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i < j$,
(3′) $d_Y(g'_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(4′) $d_X(g'_i x_0, g'_{i+1} x_0) \leq 2N + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(5′) $d_Y(g'_i y_0, g'_{i+1} y_0) \leq \lambda(2N+1) + C$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(6′) $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha'}} \subset \bigcup \{ B(g'_i y_0, 3(\tilde{M} + 1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

To prove that the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is injective, we show that if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ then $\bar{\alpha} \neq \bar{\alpha'}$.

We suppose that $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. Then the geodesic rays ξ_α and $\xi_{\alpha'}$ are not asymptotic. Hence for any $t > 0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $d_X(\xi_\alpha(r_0), \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\alpha'}) > t$. Then for $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i_0 \geq r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\alpha'}) &\geq d_X(\xi_\alpha(i_0), \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\alpha'}) - d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, \xi_\alpha(i_0)) \\ &\geq d_X(\xi_\alpha(r_0), \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\alpha'}) - N \\ &> t - N \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_X(g'_j x_0, \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\alpha'}) \le N$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that $d_X(g_{i_0}x_0, g'_j x_0) > t - 2N$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_j y_0) &\ge \frac{1}{\lambda} d_X(g_{i_0}x_0, g'_j x_0) - C \\ &> \frac{1}{\lambda}(t - 2N) - C. \end{aligned}$$

Here by (6′),

$$\operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}} \subset \bigcup\{B(g'_j y_0, 3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \,|\, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_{j_0}y_0) = \min\{d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_j y_0) \,|\, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}) &\ge \min\{d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_j y_0) \,|\, j \in \mathbb{N}\} - (3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \\ &= d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_{j_0}y_0) - (3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) \\ &> (\frac{1}{\lambda}(t-2N) - C) - (3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C), \end{aligned}$$

since $d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, g'_j y_0) > \frac{1}{\lambda}(t-2N) - C$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ by the argument above.

Thus for any $t > 0$, there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}) > (\frac{1}{\lambda}(t-2N) - C) - (3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C).$$

Here by (3), there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(R_0)) \le \tilde{M} + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(R_0), \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}) &\ge d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}) - d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(R_0)) \\ &> (\frac{1}{\lambda}(t-2N) - C) - (3(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C) - (\tilde{M}+1) \\ &= (\frac{1}{\lambda}(t-2N) - C) - (4(\tilde{M}+1) + \lambda(2N+1) + C). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t > 0$ is an arbitrary large number, the two geodesic rays $\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $\xi_{\bar{\alpha'}}$ are not asymptotic and $\bar{\alpha} \ne \bar{\alpha'}$.

Therefore, the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is injective. □

From Propositions 3.3 and 3.6, we obtain that the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is bijective.

We show the following.

Proposition 3.7. *The map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \partial X$ and let $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\phi}(\alpha)$. We put $\bar{c} = \lambda(2N+3) + C + 2(\tilde{M}+1)$ which is a constant.

To prove that the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is continuous at the point $\alpha \in \partial X$, we show that for any $\bar{r} > 0$, there exists $r > 0$ such that if $\beta \in U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, 1)$ then $\bar{\beta} \in U'_{Y\cup\partial Y}(\bar{\alpha}; \bar{r}, \bar{c})$ where $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\phi}(\beta)$, because $\{U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, 1) \,|\, r > 0\}$ and $\{U'_{Y\cup\partial Y}(\bar{\alpha}; \bar{r}, \bar{c}) \,|\, \bar{r} > 0\}$ are neighborhood basis for α and $\bar{\alpha}$ in ∂X and ∂Y, respectively.

For $\bar{r} > 0$, we take $r = \lambda(\bar{r} + C + \tilde{M} + 1) + N + 1$.

Let $\beta \in U_{X\cup\partial X}(\alpha; r, 1)$ and let $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\phi}(\beta)$.

By Lemma 3.5, there exists a sequence $\{g_i\} \subset G$ such that

(1) the sequence $\{g_i x_0\} \subset X$ converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$,
(2) $d_X(g_i x_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_\alpha) \leq N$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(3) $\operatorname{Im} \xi_\alpha \subset \bigcup \{B(g_i x_0, N+1) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$,
(4) the sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Y$ converges to $\bar{\alpha}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$, and
(5) $d_Y(g_i y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here since $d_X(g_i x_0, \xi_\alpha(i)) \leq N$ and $d_X(\xi_\alpha(i), \xi_\alpha(i+1)) = 1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in Lemma 3.5, we can obtain the statement (3) above. Also, there exists a sequence $\{h_j\} \subset G$ such that

(1′) the sequence $\{h_j x_0\} \subset X$ converges to β in $X \cup \partial X$,
(2′) $d_X(h_j x_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_\beta) \leq N$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
(3′) $\operatorname{Im} \xi_\beta \subset \bigcup \{B(h_j x_0, N+1) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}\}$,
(4′) the sequence $\{h_j y_0\} \subset Y$ converges to $\bar{\beta}$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$, and
(5′) $d_Y(h_j y_0, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{\bar{\beta}}) \leq \tilde{M} + 1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\beta \in U_{X \cup \partial X}(\alpha; r, 1)$,

$$d_X(\xi_\alpha(r), \xi_\beta(r)) < 1.$$

By (3) and (3′), there exist $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, \xi_\alpha(r)) \leq N + 1 \text{ and } d_X(h_{j_0} x_0, \xi_\beta(r)) \leq N + 1.$$

Also by (5) and (5′), there exist $\tilde{r} > 0$ and $\tilde{r'} > 0$ such that

$$d_Y(g_{i_0} y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r})) \leq \tilde{M} + 1 \text{ and } d_Y(h_{j_0} y_0, \xi_{\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{r'})) \leq \tilde{M} + 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, h_{j_0} x_0) &\leq d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, \xi_\alpha(r)) + d_X(\xi_\alpha(r), \xi_\beta(r)) + d_X(\xi_\beta(r), h_{j_0} x_0) \\ &\leq (N+1) + 1 + (N+1) \\ &= 2N + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(g_{i_0} y_0, h_{j_0} y_0) &\leq \lambda d_X(g_{i_0} x_0, h_{j_0} x_0) + C \\ &\leq \lambda(2N+3) + C. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}), \xi_{\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{r'})) &\leq d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}), g_{i_0} y_0) + d_Y(g_{i_0} y_0, h_{j_0} y_0) + d_Y(h_{j_0} y_0, \xi_{\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{r'})) \\ &\leq (\tilde{M} + 1) + (\lambda(2N+3) + C) + (\tilde{M} + 1) \\ &= \lambda(2N+3) + C + 2(\tilde{M} + 1) \\ &= \bar{c}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{r} &= d_Y(y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}))\\ &\geq d_Y(y_0, g_{i_0}y_0) - d_Y(g_{i_0}y_0, \xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}))\\ &\geq d_Y(y_0, g_{i_0}y_0) - (\tilde{M}+1)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}d_X(x_0, g_{i_0}x_0) - C - (\tilde{M}+1)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}(d_X(x_0, \xi_\alpha(r)) - d_X(g_{i_0}x_0, \xi_\alpha(r))) - C - (\tilde{M}+1)\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda}(r-(N+1)) - C - (\tilde{M}+1)\\ &= \bar{r},\end{aligned}$$

since $r = \lambda(\bar{r} + C + \tilde{M} + 1) + N + 1$. Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\bar{r}), \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\beta}}) &\leq d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}), \operatorname{Im}\xi_{\bar{\beta}})\\ &\leq d_Y(\xi_{\bar{\alpha}}(\tilde{r}), \xi_{\bar{\beta}}(\tilde{r'}))\\ &\leq \bar{c}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bar{\beta} \in U'_{Y\cup\partial Y}(\bar{\alpha}; \bar{r}, \bar{c})$.

Thus the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is continuous. □

Finally, we show the following.

Theorem 3.8. *The map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is a G-equivariant homeomorphism.*

Proof. By the argument above, the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is well-defined, bijective and continuous.

From the definition and the well-definedness of $\bar{\phi}$, we obtain that the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is G-equivariant. Indeed for any $\alpha \in \partial X$ and $g \in G$, if $\{g_i x_0\} \subset Gx_0 \subset X$ is a sequence which converges to α in $X \cup \partial X$, then $\bar{\phi}(\alpha)$ is the point of ∂Y to which the sequence $\{g_i y_0\} \subset Gy_0 \subset Y$ converges in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Then $\{gg_i x_0\} \subset Gx_0 \subset X$ is the sequence which converges to $g\alpha$ in $X \cup \partial X$ and $\bar{\phi}(g\alpha)$ is the point of ∂Y to which the sequence $\{gg_i y_0\} \subset Gy_0 \subset Y$ converges in $Y \cup \partial Y$. Here we note that the sequence $\{gg_i y_0\} \subset Gy_0 \subset Y$ converges to $g\bar{\phi}(\alpha)$ in $Y \cup \partial Y$ by the definition of the action of G on ∂Y. Hence $\bar{\phi}(g\alpha) = g\bar{\phi}(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in \partial X$ and $g \in G$ and the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is G-equivariant.

Also, the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is closed, since ∂X and ∂Y are compact and metrizable.

Therefore, we obtain that the map $\bar{\phi} : \partial X \to \partial Y$ is a G-equivariant homeomorphism. □

4. Remark

The author thinks that there is a possibility that the main theorem, the condition $(*)$ and some arguments in this paper can be used to investigate boundaries of CAT(0) groups and interesting open problems on

1. (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of CAT(0) groups;
2. (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of Coxeter groups;
3. (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of Davis complexes of Coxeter groups;

(4) (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces on which Coxeter groups act geometrically by reflections;
(5) (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of CAT(0) spaces on which right-angled Coxeter groups act geometrically by reflections;
(6) (equivariant) rigidity of boundaries of CAT(0) cubical complexes on which CAT(0) groups act geometrically,

etc.

Here we can find some recent research on CAT(0) groups and their boundaries in [12], [19], [23], [26], [30], [32], [33], [34], [36] and [38]. Details of Coxeter groups and Coxeter systems are found in [6], [9] and [29], and details of Davis complexes which are CAT(0) spaces defined by Coxeter systems and their boundaries are found in [14], [15] and [35]. We can find some recent research on boundaries of Coxeter groups in [10], [16], [17], [18], [28], [31]. Every cocompact discrete reflection group of a geodesic space becomes a Coxeter group (cf. [25]), and we say that a Coxeter group W acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space X by reflections if the Coxeter group W is a reflection group of X (cf. [27]).

References

[1] A. D. Alexandrov, V. N. Berestovskii and I. G. Nikolaev, *Generalized Riemannian spaces*, Russ. Math. Surveys 41 (1986), 1–54.

[2] F. D. Ancel, C. Guilbault, and J. Wilson, *The Croke-Kleiner boundaries are cell-like equivalent*, preprint.

[3] W. Ballmann and M. Brin, *Orbihedra of nonpositive curvature*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 82 (1995), 169–209.

[4] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of Nonpositive Curvature*, Progr. Math. vol. 61, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 1985.

[5] M. Bestvina, *Local homology properties of boundaries of groups*, Michigan Math. J. 43 (1996), 123–139.

[6] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algebrès de Lie*, Chapters IV-VI, Masson, Paris, 1981.

[7] P. Bowers and K. Ruane, *Boundaries of nonpositively curved groups of the form $G \times \mathbb{Z}^n$*, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 177–189.

[8] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[9] K. S. Brown, *Buildings*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.

[10] P. Caprace and K. Fujiwara, *Rank-one isometries of buildings and quasi-morphisms of Kac-Moody groups*, arXiv:0809.0470v3, preprint.

[11] M. Coornaert and A. Papadopoulos, *Symbolic dynamics and hyperbolic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathİ539, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[12] C. B. Croke and B. Kleiner, *Spaces with nonpositive curvature and their ideal boundaries*, Topology 39 (2000), 549–556.

[13] C. B. Croke and B. Kleiner, *The geodesic flow of a nonpositively curved graph manifold*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 12 (2002), 479–545.

[14] M. W. Davis, *Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. 117 (1983), 293–324.

[15] M. W. Davis, *Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups*, in Handbook of geometric topology (Edited by R. J. Daverman and R. B. Sher), pp. 373–422, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[16] A. N. Dranishnikov, *On boundaries of hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Topology Appl. 110 (2001), 29–38.

[17] A. N. Dranishnikov, *Boundaries of Coxeter groups and simplicial complexes with given links*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 137 (1999), 139–151.

[18] H. Fischer, *Boundaries of right-angled Coxeter groups with manifold nerves*, Topology 42 (2003), 423–446.

[19] R. Geoghegan and P. Ontaneda, *Boundaries of cocompact proper CAT(0) spaces*, Topology 46 (2007), 129–137.

[20] E. Ghys and P. de la Harpe (ed), *Sur les Groupes Hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov*, Progr. Math. vol. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 1990.

[21] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic groups*, Essays in group theory (Edited by S. M. Gersten), pp. 75–263, M.S.R.I. Publ. 8, 1987.

[22] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory (G.A. Niblo and M.A. Roller, eds.), LMS Lecture Notes, vol. 182, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993, pp. 1–295.

[23] U. Hamenstädt, *Rank-one isometries of proper CAT(0)-spaces*, arXiv:0810.3794v1, preprint.

[24] T. Hosaka, *The interior of the limit set of groups*, Houston J. Math. 30 (2004), 705–721.

[25] T. Hosaka, *Reflection groups of geodesic spaces and Coxeter groups*, Topology Appl. 153 (2006), 1860–1866.

[26] T. Hosaka, *On splitting theorems for CAT(0) spaces and compact geodesic spaces of non-positive curvature*, arXiv:math.GR/0405551v1, preprint.

[27] T. Hosaka, *Parabolic subgroups of Coxeter groups acting by reflections on CAT(0) spaces*, arXiv:math/0409472v1, preprint.

[28] T. Hosaka, *On boundaries of Coxeter groups and topological fractal structures*, arXiv:0912.0061v2, preprint.

[29] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[30] M. Mihalik and K. Ruane, *CAT(0) groups with non-locally connected boundary*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60 (1999), 757–770.

[31] M. Mihalik, K. Ruane and S. Tschantz, *Local connectivity of right-angled Coxeter group boundaries*, J. Group Theory 10 (2007), 531–560.

[32] N. Monod, *Superrigidity for irreducible lattices and geometric splitting*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), 781–814.

[33] C. Mooney, *Examples of non-rigid CAT(0) groups from the category of knot groups*, arXiv:0706.1581v2, preprint.

[34] C. Mooney, *All CAT(0) boundaries of a group of the form $H \times K$ are CE equivalent*, arXiv:0707.4316, preprint.

[35] G. Moussong, *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Ohio State University, 1988.

[36] P. Papasoglu and E. L. Swenson, *Boundaries and JSJ decompositions of CAT(0)-groups*, Geom. Funct. Analy. 19 (2009), 558–590.

[37] E. L. Swenson, *A cut point theorem for CAT(0) groups*, J. Differential Geom. 53 (1999), 327–358.

[38] J. M. Wilson, *A CAT(0) group with uncountably many distinct boundaries*, J. Group Theory 8 (2005), 229–238.

[39] S. Yamagata, *On ideal boundaries of some Coxeter groups*, Advanced Studies Pure Math. 55 (2009), 345–352.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya, 321-8505, Japan

E-mail address: `hosaka@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp`